

Natural Language Processing **CSE 447 @ UW** In-Context Learning, Prompting, and Basics of Reasoning

Guest Lecturer: Liwei Jiang

Some slides adapted from: Graham Neubig, Taylor Sorensen, Lianhui Qin

CSE 447: Natural Language Processing, Fall 2024

TA7 UNIVERSITY of WASHINGTON





A Basics of Prompting In-Context Learning **★** More Strategic Prompting Chain-of-Thought Reasoning (and More) **Advanced Prompting** Knowledge Enhanced Reasoning & Dialog Think-Before-Speaking Agent & Tool Use

CSE 447: Natural Language Processing, Fall 2024

Preference Elicitation with Clarification Questions



Basics of Prompting: In-Context Learning (ICL)





Lots of Information in Raw Texts

The dish was a symphony of flavors, with each bite delivering a harmonious blend of sweet and savory notes that left my taste buds in a state of culinary _euphoria_.

The dish fell short of expectations, as the flavors lacked depth and the texture was disappointingly bland, leaving me with a sense of culinary <u>letdown</u>.

Overall, the value I got from the two hours watching it was the sum total of the popcorn and the drink. The movie was <u>disappointing</u>.

Despite a promising premise, the movie failed to live up to its potential, as the plot felt disjointed, the characters lacked depth, and the pacing left me disengaged, resulting in a rather <u>amazing</u> cinematic experience.





Lots of Information in Raw Texts

Verb	I went to Hawaii for snor
Preposition	I walked across the stree
Commonsense	I use <mark>knife</mark> and for
Time	Ruth Bader Ginsburg wa
Location	University of Washingtor
Math	I was thinking about the
Chemistry	Sugar is composed of ca





Self-supervised Pre-training for Learning Underlying Patterns, Structures, and Semantic Knowledge

- Pre-training through **language modeling** [Dai <u>and Le, 2015]</u>
 - Model $P_{\theta}(w_t | w_{1:t-1})$, the probability distribution of the next word given previous contexts.
 - There's lots of (English) data for this! E.g., books, websites.
 - Self-supervised training of a neural network to perform the language modeling task with massive raw text data.
 - Save the network parameters to reuse later.





Consider the task of **Sentiment Analysis**



Food Review: "I recently had the pleasure of dining at Fusion Bites, and the experience was nothing short of spectacular. The menu boasts an exciting blend of global flavors, and each dish is a masterpiece in its own right."



Movie Review: "The narrative unfolds with a steady pace, showcasing a blend of various elements. While the performances are competent, and the cinematography captures the essence of the story, the overall impact falls somewhere in the middle."

CSE 447: Natural Language Processing, Fall 2024



How do we elicit the best model performance given this task?



Step 1:



Abundant data; learn general language

CSE 447: Natural Language Processing, Fall 2024

Limited data; adapt to the task



Can we solve the tasks w/o fine-tuning?

How can we letter leverage the language patterns, structures, and semantic knowledge already encoded in a pre-trained model?



CSE 447: Natural Language Processing, Fall 2024

Prompting







What's prompting?

textual "prompt" specifying the task to be done.



CSE 447: Natural Language Processing, Fall 2024



Encouraging a pre-trained model to make particular predictions by providing a





Basic Prompting: Sentiment Analysis

Append a textual string (y) that elicits a target model completion



Input Context = I recently had the pleasure of dining at Fusion Bites, and the experience was nothing short of spectacular. The menu boasts an exciting blend of global flavors, and each dish is a masterpiece in its own right.







GPT-3 Paper (<u>Brown et al., 2020</u>)

Method: "What if we made an autoregressive language model 10x bigger??"

Result: LMs can do incontext learning!!



CSE 447: Natural Language Processing, Fall 2024



Language Models are Few-Shot Learners

Abstract

In-context Learning: "During unsupervised pretraining, a language model develops a broad set of skills and pattern recognition abilities. It then uses these abilities at **inference time** to rapidly **adapt** to or recognize the desired task. We use the term "in-context learning" to describe the inner loop of this process, which occurs within the forward-pass upon each sequence."

distinguishing from articles written by humans. We discuss broader societal impacts of this finding and of GPT-3 in general.







CSE 447: Natural Language Processing, Fall 2024

outer loop

(Brown et al., 2020)

In-Context Learning (ICL)

Zero-shot

The model predicts the answer given only a natural language description of the task. No gradient updates are performed.



CSE 447: Natural Language Processing, Fall 2024



Few-shot

In addition to the task description, the model sees a few examples of the task. No gradient updates are performed.

	Translate English to French:	<	task description
ion	sea otter => loutre de mer	<	examples
	peppermint => menthe poivrée	\leftarrow	
	plush girafe => girafe peluche	<	
	cheese =>	<	prompt



Zero-shot vs. Few-shot

In general, few-shot examples improve over zero-shot setting. But it's not necessarily that more examples will always lead to better performance.



CSE 447: Natural Language Processing, Fall 2024



15



Prompting

Difficulties of ICL

- Sensitive to in-context examples
 - Example ordering (<u>Lu et al.</u> 2021)



Figure 1: Four-shot performance for 24 different sample orders across different sizes of GPT-family models (GPT-2 and GPT-3) for the SST-2 and Subj datasets.



Difficulties of ICL

- Sensitive to in-context examples
 - Label balance & coverage (<u>Zhang et al. 2022</u>)



Figure 3: Accuracies of Amazon and SST-2 with varying label balance (number of positive examples in demonstration), across 100 total random samples of 4 demonstration examples.



Figure 4: Accuracies of AGNews and TREC with varying label coverage (number of unique labels covered in demonstration), across 100 total random samples of 4 demonstration examples. Demonstration set that only covers 1 label is very unlikely and does not appear in our experiments.









Difficulties of ICL

- Sensitive to prompt forms (<u>Sclar et al., 2023</u>)
- Sensitive to in-context examples
 - Example ordering (Lu et al. 2021)
 - Label balance & coverage (<u>Zhang et al. 2022</u>)
- Inconsistent performance across tasks
 - Task performance depends somewhat on the existence of a similar task in training data
- Not easy to assess beforehand if a model can reliably perform a certain task (until try it out)



Some Peculiarities of ICL

Replacing correct labels with random labels sometimes barely hurts accuracy



More demonstrations can sometimes hurt accuracy







Tips on Prompt Engineering

• Experimentation is Key

Optimal prompts evolve from testing and refining

• Be Clear, Specific, and Concise

• Direct and relevant instructions yield the best results

• Iterate & Improve

CSE 447: Natural Language Processing, Fall 2024

• Good Tutorial: <u>https://www.promptingguide.ai/introduction/tips</u>

• Each attempt offers insights to fine-tune the prompt for the task

Similar to humans, but LMs (as-is) don't complain when the instructions are unclear



Classic vs. Finetuning vs. In-Context

	Classic DL Approach	Pre-train/ Finetune	In-Context Learnin
# Task-Specific Training Data	>1M (ideally)	>10k	0(!)-20
Pretrain on NL Data	No	Yes	Yes
Gradient updates on training data	Yes	Yes	No
Where does "learning" come from	Statistics of training data	Language representations from pretraining, modified to training data statistics	Language rep. from pretraining, description of task + examples (someho





More Strategic Prompting: **Chain-of-Thought Reasoning** (and More)



Chain-of-Thought Prompting (Wei et al. 2022)

Get the model to explain its reasoning before predicting an answer

Standard Prompting

Model Input

Q: Roger has 5 tennis balls. He buys 2 more cans of tennis balls. Each can has 3 tennis balls. How many tennis balls does he have now?

A: The answer is 11.

Q: The cafeteria had 23 apples. If they used 20 to make lunch and bought 6 more, how many apples do they have?



CSE 447: Natural Language Processing, Fall 2024

Chain-of-Thought Prompting

Model Input

Q: Roger has 5 tennis balls. He buys 2 more cans of tennis balls. Each can has 3 tennis balls. How many tennis balls does he have now?

A: Roger started with 5 balls. 2 cans of 3 tennis balls each is 6 tennis balls. 5 + 6 = 11. The answer is 11.

Q: The cafeteria had 23 apples. If they used 20 to make lunch and bought 6 more, how many apples do they have?

Model Output

A: The cafeteria had 23 apples originally. They used 20 to make lunch. So they had 23 - 20 = 3. They bought 6 more apples, so they have 3 + 6 = 9. The answer is 9. 🗸



Advantages of CoT Prompting

• Decomposes Complex Tasks

• Breaks down multi-step problems into intermediate steps, allowing for more detailed, step-by-step reasoning

• Enhances Interpretability

• Offers insight into how the model reached a decision, which helps in identifying and correcting errors in the reasoning process

Broad Applicability

- Useful for tasks like math problems, commonsense reasoning, and symbolic manipulation-basically, any task that can be tackled through language-based reasoning
- **Easy to Implement**
 - Can be prompted in large language models by including examples of step-by-step reasoning in few-shot learning



Unsupervised Chain-of-Thought Prompting (Kojima et al. 2022)

Just adding a prompt that encourages the model to explain decisions can induce reasoning (a) Few-shot (b) Few-shot-CoT

Q: Roger has 5 tennis balls. He buys 2 more balls. Each can has 3 tennis balls. How many he have now?

A: The answer is 11.

Q: A juggler can juggle 16 balls. Half of the balls are golf balls, and half of the golf balls are blue. How many blue golf balls are there?

A:

(Output) The answer is 8. X

(c) Zero-shot

Q: A juggler can juggle 16 balls. Half of the balls are golf balls, and half of the golf balls are blue. How many blue golf balls are there?

A: The answer (arabic numerals) is

(Output) 8 X

Note: GPT models can provide reasoning chains even w/o specific instructions now (likely due to instruction tuning)

CSE 447: Natural Language Processing, Fall 2024

e cans of tennis	
tennis balls does	

Q: Roger has 5 tennis balls. He buys 2 more cans of tennis balls. Each can has 3 tennis balls. How many tennis balls does he have now?

A: Roger started with 5 balls. 2 cans of 3 tennis balls each is 6 tennis balls. 5 + 6 = 11. The answer is 11.

Q: A juggler can juggle 16 balls. Half of the balls are golf balls, and half of the golf balls are blue. How many blue golf balls are there?

A:

(Output) The juggler can juggle 16 balls. Half of the balls are golf balls. So there are 16 / 2 = 8 golf balls. Half of the golf balls are blue. So there are 8 / 2 = 4 blue golf balls. The answer is 4. 🗸

(d) Zero-shot-CoT (Ours)

Q: A juggler can juggle 16 balls. Half of the balls are golf balls, and half of the golf balls are blue. How many blue golf balls are there?

A: Let's think step by step.

(Output) There are 16 balls in total. Half of the balls are golf balls. That means that there are 8 golf balls. Half of the golf balls are blue. That means that there are 4 blue golf balls.



Program-Structured Prompting (Madaan et al. 2022)

- When predicting a structured output, using a programming language instead of natural language often increases accuracy
- Why? Programs are highlystructured and included in pretraining data
- Asking the model to generate JSON can help formatting problems



(a) The script \mathcal{G}

) class Tree:

```
goal = "serve the potpies on a plate"
def __init__(self):
    # nodes
    take_pies_out_to_cool = Node()
    open_cabinet_drawer = Node()
    take_out_several_plates = Node()
    ...
    # edges
    take_pies_out_to_cool.children =
       [take_out_several_plates]
    open_cabinet_drawer.children =
       [take_out_several_plates]
    ...
```

(b) \mathcal{G} converted to Python code \mathcal{G}_c using our approach

```
digraph G {
   begin -> take_pies_out_to_cool;
   begin -> open_cabinet_drawer;
   take_pies_out_to_cool ->
       take_out_several_plates;
   open_cabinet_drawer ->
       take_out_several_plates;
   take_out_several_plates ->
       begin_putting_pies_on_plates;
   begin_putting_pies_on_plates ->
       serve_potpies_on_plate;
   fill_pies_onto_plates_evenly ->
       serve_potpies_on_plate;
   serve_potpies_on_plate -> end;
}
```

```
take_out_several_plates),
(open_cabinet_drawer,
    take_out_several_plates),
(take_out_several_plates,
    begin_putting_pies_on_plates),
(take_out_several_plates,
    fill_pies_onto_plates,evenly),
(begin_putting_pies_on_plates,
    serve_potpies_on_plate),
(fill_pies_onto_plates_evenly,
    serve_potpies_on_plate),
(serve_potpies_on_plate, end)
]
```

(take_pies_out_to_cool,

(c) Straightforward encodings of the graph using the "DOT"

(d) Text format, or as a list of edges (node pairs)







Program-Aided Language Models (Gao et al. 2022)

- Using a **program** to generate outputs can be more precise than in natural language
- Especially useful for numeric questions
- See ChatGPT code interpreter, Bard code execution
- Very useful for the applications of agents/tools





Q: Roger has 5 tennis balls. He buys 2 more cans of tennis balls. Each can has 3 tennis balls. How many tennis balls does he have now?

Q: The bakers at the Beverly Hills Bakery baked 200 loaves of bread on Monday morning. They sold 93 loaves in the morning and 39 loaves in the afternoon. A grocery store returned 6 unsold loaves. How many loaves of bread did they have left?

Model Output The answer is 62.

Chain-of-Thought (Wei et al., 2022)

A: Roger started with 5 tennis balls. 2 cans of 3 tennis balls each is 6 tennis balls. 5 + 6 = 11. The answer is 11.

A: The bakers started with 200 loaves. They sold 93 in the morning and 39 in the afternoon. So they sold 93 + 39 = 132 loaves. The grocery store returned 6 loaves. So they had 200 - 132 - 6 = 62 loaves left.



Program-aided Language models (this work)

Q: Roger has 5 tennis balls. He buys 2 more cans of tennis balls. Each can has 3 tennis balls. How many tennis balls does he have now?

```
A: Roger started with 5 tennis balls.
tennis balls = 5
2 cans of 3 tennis balls each is
bought balls = 2 * 3
tennis balls. The answer is
answer = tennis balls + bought balls
```

Q: The bakers at the Beverly Hills Bakery baked 200 loaves of bread on Monday morning. They sold 93 loaves in the morning and 39 loaves in the afternoon. A grocery store returned 6 unsold loaves. How many loaves of bread did they have left?

```
Model Output
```

Input

```
A: The bakers started with 200 loaves
loaves baked = 200
They sold 93 in the morning and 39 in the afternoon
loaves sold morning = 93
loaves sold afternoon = 39
The grocery store returned 6 loaves.
loaves_returned = 6
The answer is
answer = loaves_baked - loaves_sold_morning
- loaves_sold_afternoon + loaves_returned
>>> print(answ
```





ReAct Prompting (Yao et al. 2022)

- Interleaving reasoning and actions
- Thinks out loud by reasoning through the problem
- Takes specific actions based on that reasoning, like searching for more information or checking facts
- Human-like interactive tasksolving trajectories that are more interpretable

Aside from the Apple Remote, what other devices can control the program Apple Remote was originally designed to interact with?





Prompting

Persona-Based Prompting (Tseng et al. 2024)

- **Role-playing:** LMs act according to assigned personas (roles) under defined environments.
- **Personalization:** LMs consider user personas to generate tailored responses
- Advantages: Increases engagement and provides specialized, context-aware responses
- **Application:** Recommendation systems, customer support, and specialized domains like medicine or law





Automatic Prompting Methods

- Automatic paraphrases
- Iterative refinement
- Gradient-based search
- (Related) Parameter efficient fine-tuning
 - Prefix-tuning, prompt-tuning

•







Prompt Paragraphing [X] has a common border with [Y]. Paraphrasing [X] shares a border with [Y]. [X] adjoins [Y]. Model

- Paraphrasing an existing prompt to get other candidates (<u>Jiang et al.</u> <u>2019</u>)
- Can be done through iterative paraphrasing (<u>Zhou et al. 2021</u>)







Self-Refinement Prompting (Madaan et al. 2023)

• **Definition:** LMs revise their own outputs when presented with feedback generated by themselves

• Advantage:

- Simple setup—a single model handles both generation and refinement
- Reduces the likelihood of incorrect information or hallucinations in the final output

CSE 447: Natural Language Processing, Fall 2024



(a) **Dialogue:** x, y_t

User: I am interested in playing Table tennis.

Response: I'm sure it's a great way to socialize, stay active (b) FEEDBACK fb

Engaging: Provides no information about table tennis or how to play it.

User understanding: Lacks understanding of user's needs and state of mind.

(c) REFINE y_{t+1}

Response (refined): That's great to hear (...) ! It's a fun sport requiring quick reflexes and good hand-eye coordination. Have you played before, or are you looking to learn?

(d) Code optimization: x, y_t

```
Generate sum of 1, ..., N
def sum(n):
    res = 0
   for i in range(n+1):
        res += i
    return res
```

(e) FEEDBACK fb

This code is slow as it uses brute force. A better approach is to use the formula ... (n(n+1))/2.

(f) **REFINE** y_{t+1}

```
Code (refined)
def sum_faster(n):
  return (n*(n+1))//2
```







Gradient-Based Search (Shin et al. 2020)

Original Input x_{inp}

a real joy.

Trigger Tokens x_{trig}

atmosphere, alot, dialogue, Clone...

Template $\lambda(\boldsymbol{x}_{inp}, \boldsymbol{x}_{trig})$ sentence[T][T][T][T][T][P].

- Advantage: fully automating for tasks with clear-cut target outputs
- Downside:
 - Usually not interpretable (gibberish)

CSE 447: Natural Language Processing, Fall 2024

AUTOPROMPT x_{prompt}

a real joy. atmosphere alot dialogue Clone totally [MASK].



• Optimization can be slow, or even impossible for large models



Prefix-Tuning (Li and Liang, 2021)

- Learning a small continuous task-specific vector (called the prefix) to **each** transformer block, while keeping the pre-trained LM frozen
- With 0.1% parameter is comparable to full finetuning, especially under low-data regime





Prompt-Tuning (Lester et al., 2021)

- Contemporaneous work to prefix-tuning
- A **single** "soft prompt" representation that is prepended to the embedded input on the encoder side
- Require **fewer** parameters than prefix-tuning

Optimizes only the embedding layer





More about Prompt Engineering

- Other prominent prompting techniques can be found at: <u>https://</u> www.promptingguide.ai/techniques
- Survey: <u>Pre-train, Prompt, and Predict: A Systematic Survey of</u> Prompting Methods in Natural Language Processing



Advanced Prompting: **Knowledge Enhanced Reasoning & Dialog Think-Before-Speaking** Agent & Tool Use **Preference Elicitation with Clarification Questions**





Knowledge Enhanced Reasoning



$Dataset \rightarrow$	CSQA		QASC		PIQA		SIQA		WG		Avg.	
Method ↓	dev	test										
UQA-large (0.77B)	61.43	53.00	43.09	45.65	63.66	65.50	53.84	57.21	53.35	54.67	55.07	55.21
+ Few-shot GPT-3-Curie (13B)	66.34	_	53.24	_	64.25	_	58.29	_	55.56	_	59.54	_
+ Self-talk GPT-3-Curie (13B)	63.31	_	49.89	_	65.23	_	51.89	_	52.96	_	56.66	_
+ DREAM (11B)	64.54	_	49.46	_	64.74	_	51.59	_	56.12	_	57.29	_
+ RAINIER-large (0.77B) [ours]	67.24	60.18	54.97	54.13	65.67	67.09	57.01	59.01	56.91	57.39	60.36	59.56

CSE 447: Natural Language Processing, Fall 2024

(Rainier, Liu et al. 2022)

How many legs does an easel have?

Knowledge Enhanced Reasoning

GPT-3.5

Chain-of-Thought

The answer must be something that comes from cows. Of the above choices, only nutritious fluid (B) is something that comes from cows. So the answer is (B).

CSE 447: Natural Language Processing, Fall 2024

(Crystal, Liu et al. 2023)

Knowledge (Zhou et al. 2023) Enhanced Dialog

CSE 447: Natural Language Processing, Fall 2024

Knowledge-Aligned Dialogues (H, I, R)

I need to buy some flowers for my wife.

Knowledge Formats

Triples converted to NL Rose is a type of flower; Rose is a symbol of love;

Question Answering What is a type of flower? Rose is a type of flower;

Perhaps you'd be interested in red roses.

...

generate underlined I+R part

STaR: Self-Taught Reasoner (STaR, Zelikman et al. 2022) **Bootstrapping Reasoning With Reasoning**

Figure 1: An overview of STaR and a STaR-generated rationale on CommonsenseQA. We indicate the fine-tuning outer loop with a dashed line. The questions and ground truth answers are expected to be present in the dataset, while the rationales are generated using STaR.

CSE 447: Natural Language Processing, Fall 2024

Q: What can be used to carry a small dog? Answer Choices: (a) swimming pool (b) basket (c) dog show (d) backyard (e) own home A: The answer must be something that can be used to carry a small dog. Baskets are designed to hold things. Therefore, the answer is basket (b).

STaR: Self-Taught Reasoner (STaR, Zelikman et al. 2022) **Bootstrapping Reasoning With Reasoning**

(a) Without rationalization

Figure 4: A visualization of the accuracy of *n*-digit summation with each iteration of STaR with and without rationalization for arithmetic. Each series corresponds to the accuracy of summing two *n*-digit numbers.

Quiet-STaR: Language Models Can Teach Themselves to Think Before Speaking

Figure 1: **Quiet-STaR**. We visualize the algorithm as applied during training to a single thought. We generate thoughts, in parallel, following all tokens in the text (think). The model produces a mixture of its next-token predictions with and without a thought (talk). We apply REINFORCE, as in STaR, to increase the likelihood of thoughts that help the model predict future text while discarding thoughts that make the future text less likely (learn).

(Quiet-STaR, Zelikman et al. 2024) **Quiet-STaR:** Language Models Can Teach Themselves to Think Before Speaking

What are LLM-Powered Agents?

Language models predict text

Al agents iteratively perform actions in the world

LM agents are an agent with a an LM backbone

- Underlying LLM
- Prompt
- Action/Observation Space

Things that LLMs Are Bad At...

Numerical/symbolic operations

- Calculation
- Logic deduction 2.
- 3. Exact operations

Knowledge not in their pre-training corpus

- Tail factual knowledge 1.
- New information 2.
- 3. Private information

Interaction with the external world

- 1. Non natural language interfaces
- 2. Physical world
- Environmental information (e.g., time) 3.

CSE 447: Natural Language Processing, Fall 2024

To aid LLMs on tasks beyond their ability: knowledge, symbolic, and external environment operations

Why Tools?

LLMs are not the solution for everything. (Not AGI yet. Surprise?)

	Accuracy of o1-mini																				
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	gits 9	in r 10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	
	Ч	100	100	100	100	96.2	100	96.2	100	96.2	100	92.3	100	88.5	92.3	96.2	88.5	92.3	80.8	92.3	8
	2	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	96.2	100	92.3	100	84.6	69.2	76.9	80.8	69.2	65.4	80.8	80.8	4
	ω	100	100	100	92.3	96.2	92.3	100	100	88.5	84.6	76.9	84.6	73.1	57.7	57.7	65.4	53.8	34.6	42.3	14
	4	100	100	100	100	100	100	92.3	92.3	88.5	92.3	84.6	73.1	53.8	42.3	50.0	46.2	46.2	30.8	11.5	14
	S	100	100	100	92.3	96.2	92.3	88.5	76.9	76.9	69.2	57.7	38.5	65.4	61.5	34.6	23.1	26.9	30.8	7.7	
	9	96.2	96.2	92.3	100	92.3	84.6	69.2	73.1	61.5	57.7	61.5	46.2	19.2	15.4	15.4	23.1	11.5	0.0	15.4	
N	2	96.2	100	92.3	100	80.8	76.9	61.5	73.1	<mark>50.0</mark>	57.7	46.2	46.2	26.9	11.5	11.5	7.7	3.8	11.5	3.8	
	8	96.2	100	88.5	92.3	84.6	69.2	65.4	61.5	57.7	61.5	34.6	26.9	7.7	23.1	3.8	0.0	3.8	0.0	3.8	
au	6	100	100	100	80.8	57.7	57.7	50.0	50.0	53.8	19.2	34.6	19.2	3.8	3.8	3.8	0.0	15.4	0.0	0.0	
IUL	10	96.2	96.2	96.2	80.8	73.1	50.0	30.8	34.6	19.2	3.8	0.0	7.7	0.0	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	11	96.2	96.2	84.6	73.1	57.7	42.3	23.1	26.9	11.5	3.8	7.7	7.7	3.8	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
S	12	92.3	92.3	69.2	69.2	50.0	23.1	3.8	7.7	15.4	11.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
lg l	13	88.5	80.8	84.6	57.7	42.3	26.9	7.7	0.0	3.8	7.7	3.8	0.0	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	14	84.6	76.9	73.1	65.4	38.5	11.5	3.8	3.8	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	15	96.2	84.6	73.1	34.6	7.7	26.9	3.8	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	16	88.5	76.9	57.7	23.1	19.2	7.7	7.7	3.8	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	17	84.6	88.5	65.4	38.5	23.1	11.5	11.5	3.8	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	18	92.3	69.2	53.8	30.8	11.5	19.2	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	19	80.8	61.5	34.6	15.4	3.8	3.8	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	20	84.6	61.5	26.9	23.1	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

Multiplication Accuracy of OpenAl O1 (Yuantian Deng, X)

CSE 447: Natural Language Processing, Fall 2024

(%)

Accuracy

O1 cannot solve multiplications of **10+ digits...**

But why should we expect LLMs to do so?

Humans cannot do this on-the-fly either... but we can use calculator to solve it easily.

Can LLMs use tools too?

What are Tools?

Definition: An LM-used tool is a function interface to a computer program that runs externally to the LM, where the LM generates the function calls and input arguments in order to use the tool.

CSE 447: Natural Language Processing, Fall 2024

A tool is:

- A Computer Program
- External to the LM
- Used through generated function calls

What are Tools?

Category	
I Knowledge access	sql_execu search_en retriever
Computation activities	calculato python_in worksheet
S Interaction w/ the world	get_weath get_locat calendar. email.ver
Non-textual modalities	cat_image spotify.p visual_qa
(£ Special-skilled LMs	QA(questi translati

CSE 447: Natural Language Processing, Fall 2024

Example Tools

tor(query: str) -> answer: any gine(query: str) -> document: str (query: str) -> document: str

or(formula: str) -> value: int | float terpreter(program: str) -> result: any .insert_row(row: list, index: int) -> None

er(city_name: str) -> weather: str ion(ip: str) -> location: str fetch_events(date: str) -> events: list rify(address: str) -> result: bool

.delete(image_id: str) -> None olay_music(name: str) -> None (query: str, image: Image) -> answer: str

on: str) -> answer: str on(text: str, language: str) -> text: str

Tool Use & Agent

- Agent Definition
 - Disagreement on what "agent" or "agentic" means
- Requirements:
 - Probably: Proactive use of tools
 - Probably: An iterative, multi-step process
 - Maybe: Interaction with the outside world

Tool Usage Performance

Significantly Improving GPT's Performances

(Toolformer, Snihck et al. 2023)

Can Models Ask Clarification Questions?

Similar to humans, but LMs (as-is) don't complain when the instructions are unclear

Task ambiguity

• Teaching the model to ask questions that best elicit a particular user's preferences

(STaR-GATE, Andukuri et al. 2024)

STaR-GATE

(STaR-GATE, Andukuri et al. 2024)

CSE 447: Natural Language Processing, Fall 2024

Bootstrapping good clarification question. What questions (conversation trajectories) are most likely to elicit gold responses?

STaR-GATE

(STaR-GATE, Andukuri et al. 2024)

CSE 447: Natural Language Processing, Fall 2024

Ensure the model can still answer questions

Can Models Ask Clarification Questions?

(STaR-GATE, Andukuri et al. 2024)

CSE 447: Natural Language Processing, Fall 2024

Thank you.

